



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,426	09/23/2003	Bahar Reghabi	047711-0321	4240
23392	7590	04/15/2008		
FOLEY & LARDNER				
2029 CENTURY PARK EAST				
SUITE 3500				
LOS ANGELES, CA 90067				
EXAMINER				
PATTON, AMANDA K				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3762				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/15/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/669,426</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) REGHABI ET AL.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner Amanda Patton</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 3762</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/669,426	Applicant(s) REGHABI ET AL.	Examiner Amanda Patton	Art Unit 3762
Application No. 10/669,426	Applicant(s) REGHABI ET AL.				
Examiner Amanda Patton	Art Unit 3762				

**All Participants:**  
 (1) Amanda Patton.  
 (2) Ted Rittmaster.

**Date of Interview:** 2 April 2008

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**    ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**  
 Rejection(s) discussed:  
  
 Claims discussed:  
 1-58  
  
 Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**  
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/George R Evanisko/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3762

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The restriction between embodiment 1 (claims 1-41 and 59-52) and embodiment 2 (claims 42-48 and claims 53-55). Examiner wishes to clarify that the individual interconnect of claims 1 and claim 26 does not refer to a single daisy-chained pair of sensors, but are generic to include sensors connected to the external controller in parallel or in series.